

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/759,054	01/10/2001	Gabor Kalman	050-99-050	1934	
75	90 09/03/2002				
Honeywell International, Inc.			EXAMINER		
Patent Service A 101 Columbia R		LAXTON, GARY L			
P.O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ	07962-2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2838	2838	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		•	09/759,054	KALMAN ET AL.			
P;		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Gary L. Laxton	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
	after If the If NO Failu Any	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stautory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Stat							
	1)	_					
	a) 🗌	,	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
_		Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
	•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
		Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
(7—						
-	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8	B)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
		ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11	1)∐	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
	hmen	•					
2) 🔲	Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2838

13

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 13 and 21:

The claims all recite an apparatus with two inverters. The claims appear to be misdescriptive since there is a DC link within the circuit that requires AC to DC conversion. As commonly known in the art, the process of converting AC to DC is accomplished by a converter. Inverters, are known in the art to invert DC into AC. Therefore, it is unclear and misleading for the applicant to use an inverter for the process of converting to DC.

Claims 2-12 and 14-20 inherit the same deficiencies of the independent claims through dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chausse et al.

Chausse et al disclose an apparatus (figure 1) comprising:

Art Unit: 2838

an ac motor (10); a first converter (12) having an input adapted to receive ac power (3Ø PWR IN); a second converter (14) (configured as an inverter) coupled to the ac motor (10); means (22) for operating the first converter (12) in current mode; (Col. 4 line 42) means (24) for operating the second converter (operating as an inverter) in commutation mode. (See at least the Abstract lines 1 and 2). Furthermore, there is shown dc link circuit (16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-5, 10-14, 18, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chausse et al in combination with Kalman et al.

Chausse et al disclose an apparatus (figure 1) comprising: an ac motor (10); a first converter (12) having an input adapted to receive ac power (3Ø PWR IN); a second converter (14) (configured as an inverter) coupled to the ac motor (10); means (22) for operating the first converter (12) in current mode; (Col. 4 line 42) means (24) for operating the second converter (operating as an inverter) in commutation mode. (See at least the Abstract lines 1 and 2). Furthermore, there is shown dc link circuit (16).

However, Chausse et al do not disclose using park or space vector control

Kalman et al teach that it is well known in the art to utilize park vector or space vector control for power factor control and duty cycle control of pulse width modulated inverters that control magnet motors. (Abstract; Cols. 1 and 2; Col. 4 lines 15-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize park vector or space vector control of inverters in order to better control the power factor of permanent magnet motors as taught by Kalman et al.

Art Unit: 2838

•4

7. Claims 6-8 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chausse et al in combination with Kalman et al and further in combination with Ma et al.

Chausse et al in combination with Kalman et al discloses the claimed invention as stated in regards to above except for a capacitor bank and damping.

Ma et al teach a CSI based drive circuit having active damping control. Furthermore, a capacitor bank is provided to connect selected phases of the capacitor bank to the dc line in order to reduce ripple.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a capacitor bank and damping control of Ma et al in order to reduce current ripple as taught by Ma et al.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>US 4,565,957</u> Gary et al disclose a method and system for starting a motor commutated SCR inverter using current commutation;
<u>US 5,801,517</u> Borle discloses a method and control circuit for a switching regulator using current mode control and having a DC link;

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2838

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (703) 305-

7039. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jeffrey Sterrett
Primary Examiner

Page 5

GLL